

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron Letters 45 (2004) 6813–6817

Tetrahedron Letters

A new type of [2] and [3]pseudorotaxane composed of b-cyclodextrin and bisimidazolyl compounds

Xu-Jie Shen, Hui-Lan Chen,* Fei Yu, You-Cai Zhang, Xiao-Hong Yang and Yi-Zhi Li*

State Key Laboratory and Institute of Coordination Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

Received 19 January 2004; revised 10 June 2004; accepted 15 June 2004 Available online 3 August 2004

Abstract—Employing bisimidazolyl compounds as guests, a new type of [2] and [3]pseudorotaxanes based on β -cyclodextrin were synthesized and characterized. From the crystallographic structural analysis and ¹H NMR spectroscopy it has been found that the number of threaded cyclodextrin depends on the length of the guest molecule. 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the pseudorotaxane and rotaxane supramolecules because of their interesting structures and properties, as well as potential applications for molecular devices.^{[1](#page-3-0)} Pseudorotaxane is a type of supramolecular assembly that consists of a cyclic molecule, acts as the wheel, that is host, and a threadlike molecule acts as the axle, that is guest. It is commonly used as precursor for the formation of permanently interlocked rotaxane by adding 'stopper' components, which are bulky enough to prevent unthreading.

Although there are previous works about rotaxanes and pseudorotaxanes based on cyclodextrins,1a,f,2 structural data of them are not plenteous. It is still a challenge to prepare new member of the rotaxanes with novel structures and special properties. The Mavridis's group has determined the molecular structures of [3]pseudorotaxanes, in which a long aliphatic chain with carboxylic group or amino group thread through two molecules of β -CD.^{[3](#page-3-0)} Kamitori and co-workers reported the 2:1 complex of α -CD/biphenyldicarboxylic.^{[4](#page-3-0)} Moreover, the single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis of a polymeric glycol-cyclodextrin polyrotaxane and two structures of pseudo-polyrotaxane composed of β -CD with poly(trimethylene oxide) and poly(propylene glycol) have been reported.^{[5,6](#page-3-0)} Recently, Anderson's group determined the molecular structure of a stilbene cyclodextrin

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2004.06.106

[2]rotaxane.[7](#page-3-0) However, to our knowledge, no [2] or [3]pseudorotaxane with the guest molecule involving imidazolyl group has been prepared. Here we describe the synthesis and characterization of a new type of b-CD pseudorotaxanes, in which bisimidazolyl compounds, that is 1a (1,10-bis(imidazol-1-lyl) decane), 1b (1,6-bis(imidazol-1-lyl)hexane), 1c (1,4-bis(imidazol-1 ylmethyl)benzene) and 1d (4,4'-(bis(imidazol-1-ylmethylene))biphenyl) are employed as guest to thread into β -CD [\(Scheme 1](#page-1-0)). Two structures of pseudorotaxanes, that is $2a$ ($1a/2\beta$ -CD) and $2c$ ($1c/\beta$ -CD) have been determined for the first time. Because of the N atom in terminal imidazole group of the guest molecule can coordinate with transition metal ion, these type of pseudorotaxanes may be converted to permanent metalo-rotaxanes, which would probably be furnished with specific photonic, electric or magnetic properties.

The four guest compounds 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d were prepared from relevant α , ω -dibromo-alkyl or -aromatic compounds with imidazole in a molar ratio of 1:2 in isopropyl alcohol under strong alkali (KOH) conditions by the method of Kikugawa.^{[8](#page-3-0)} All compounds gave satisfac-tory spectral and analytical results.^{[9](#page-3-0)} The pseudorotaxanes of 2a, 2b, 2c and 2d were synthesized by self-assembly of the guest compounds with β -CD in aqueous solution. The products were characterized by ${}^{1}\overrightarrow{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and ESI-MS, respectively.[10](#page-3-0) Single prismatic crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the aqueous solution. The molecular structures were determined by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. 11

Keywords: B-Cyclodextrin; Pseudorotaxane; Bisimidazolyl compound; X-ray diffraction analysis; ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: $+86-25-83592369$; fax: $+86-25-$ 83314502;e-mail: hlchen@nju.edu.cn

Scheme 1. Formation of the pseudorotaxanes.

The molecular structure of 2a (Fig. 1a) indicates that two β -CD rings are threaded on to 1a molecule to form a [3]pseudorotaxane. The β -CD dimer is composed of the monomers A and B with the stacking of secondary to secondary faces. Each of the secondary hydroxyls of β -CD is H-bonded to those secondary hydroxyls both in the same and in the neighboring β -CD molecule of the dimer. The guest molecule spans the entire length of the β -CD dimer cavity with the imidazole groups slightly protruding from the primary faces of β -CDs. The molecule packing of $2a$ ([Fig. 2a](#page-2-0)) shows that β -CDs constitute channels along c axis, which forms an angle of 19.5 \degree with the axis of β -CD dimer. The space between the β -CDs is filled with water molecules, which is H-bonded to cyclodextrin hydroxyl and other water molecules.

While the structure of 2c shows that each molecule of 1c threads into the cavity of one β -CD to form a [2]pseudorotaxane (Fig. 1b). The benzene group of 1c is included in the β -CD cavity, one imidazole group is located in the

Figure 1. Molecular structure of the [2] and [3]pseudorotaxanes (a) $2a$, (b) $2c$ (50% probability ellipsoids; H atoms are omitted; the bonds of guest molecules are hollowed for clarity). In 2a, the angles between the plane of the imidazole groups and the heptagons composed of seven O atoms of the β -CD are 43.5° and 51.3°, respectively. In 2c, the corresponding angles are 14.9°, 12.1° (of A unite) and 2.9°, 5.8° (of B unite), respectively.

Figure 2. Packing style of pseudorotaxanes (a) 2a, (b) 2c (observed from a axis, the carbon atoms of guest molecules are green-colored for clarity).

secondary face of β -CD and the other slightly protrudes from the primary face. The crystallographic asymmetric unit contains two [2]pseudorotaxanes. From the molecule packing of $2c$ (Fig. 2b), we can see that β -CD molecules also form face-to-face dimers, in which their secondary hydroxyl sides are linked by multiple hydrogen bonds. The two adjacent imidazole rings, which are located in the secondary faces of β -CD, exhibit a $\pi-\pi$ interaction with a centroid–centroid distance of 4.16\AA and an angle of 16.3° . In addition, the imidazole group in the primary face of β -CD assists in the formation of network via H-bond interaction with primary hydroxyl of the adjacent β -CD dimer. The b-CD dimers constitute brick-type molecular packing, which is different from the channel packing of 2a. 'Cages' surrounded by dimers are filled with water molecules.

Apart from the single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, ${}^{1}\hat{H}$ NMR spectroscopy is a powerful technique to investigate the formation of inclusion complex and the inter-action between cyclodextrin and substrate.^{[12,13](#page-4-0)} Comparing to the 1 H NMR spectroscopic data (Table 1) of free β -CD with that of 2b (2d), 0.09 (0.14) and 0.22 (0.21) ppm upfield shifts were observed for H3 and H5 protons, which located in the cavity of β -CD, respectively. The chemical shifts of the resonance of H1, H2 and H4 protons on the outer surface were unaffected. Furthermore, the chemical shifts for H6 protons located in the narrower opening of β -CD, also moved to a higher field. For the guest molecule, the ¹H NMR signals of alkylene of 1b broaded and shifted upfield, while the signal of aH in imidazole group, which is close to the face of β -CD, moved downfield (about

Table 1. Chemical shifts of β -CD before and after formation of pseudorotaxane of $2a$, $2b$, $2c$ and $2d$ (ppm, in D_2O)

		H1	H ₂	H ₃	H4	H ₅	H6
Free		5.06	3.64	3.96	3.58	3.85	3.87
β -CD							
2a	δ	5.06	3.65	3.87	3.59	3.70	3.83
	$\Delta\delta$	0.00	$+0.01$	-0.09	$+0.01$	-0.15	-0.04
2 _b	δ	5.06	3.65	3.87	3.58	3.63	3.84
	$\Lambda \delta$	0.00	$+0.01$	-0.09	$+0.00$	-0.22	-0.03
2c	δ	5.04	3.63	3.79	3.57	3.45	3.74
	$\Lambda \delta$	-0.02	-0.01	-0.17	-0.01	-0.40	-0.13
2d	δ	5.04	3.63	3.82	3.57	3.64	3.76
	$\Lambda \delta$	-0.02	-0.01	-0.14	-0.01	-0.21	-0.11

0.11 ppm), compared with that of 2b ([Fig. 3](#page-3-0)). According to the integral area of the ¹H NMR spectra, the molar ratio of β -CDs and guest molecules is 1:1 for 2b and 2:1 for 2d, respectively, which is consistent with element analysis and ESI-MS results. Furthermore, two-dimensional NOESY spectroscopy of 2b (2d) revealed the correlation between alkylene protons of 1b (phenyl protons of 1d) and H3, H5 of β -CD. It indicates that the guest molecule had threaded through the cavities of one or two β -CDs to form the [2] or [3] pseudorotaxane, respectively.

In summary, bisimidazolyl compounds were used as guests for the first time to prepare a new kind of [2] or [3]pseudorotaxanes based on b-cyclodextrin. According to the crystal structural analysis and ${}^{1}H$ NMR studies, we found that the guest molecules with longer alkylene chain or two benzene rings can thread two β -CDs to form the [3]pseudorotaxanes, while the guests with

Figure 3. The ¹H NMR spectra (in D_2O) of (a) 1b, (b) 2b, (c) partial spectra of β -CD (free) and (d) in 2b.

shorter chain or one benzene thread only one β -CD ring to become the [2]pseudorotaxanes. It is worth noting that pseudorotaxanes show distinct molecular packing with the changing of guest molecules. Further investigation of metallo-rotaxane by adding complex 'stopper' to the ends of pseudorotaxane is in progress in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 20071017, 50272029) and Research Found for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 2000028401).

References and notes

- 1. (a) Harada, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 456–464;(b) Hubin, T. J.; Busch, D. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2000, 200, 5–52; (c) Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1643–1663; (d) Balzai, V.; Gomez-Lopez, M.; Stoddart, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 405–414;(e) Sauvage, J.-P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 611–619;(f) Nepogodiev, S. A.; Stoddart, J. F. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1959–1976.
- 2. (a) Kim, B.; Hong, J. Chem. Lett. 2002, 336–337; (b) Onagi, H.;Easton, C. J.;Lincoln, S. F. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1041–1044; (c) Taylor, P. N.; O'Connell, M. J.; McNeill, L. A.; Hall, M. J.; Aplin, R. T.; Anderson, H. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 2000, 39, 3456–3459;(d) Jujimoto, T.; Sakata, Y.; Kaneda, T. Chem. Commun. 2000, 2143–2144; (e) Buston, J. E. H.; Young, J. R.; Anderson, H. L. Chem. Commun., 2000, 905–906;(f) Baer, A. J.; Macartney, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 1410–1417.
- 3. (a) Makedonopoulou, S.; Mavridis, I. M.; Yannakopoulou, K.; Papaioannou, J. Chem. Commun. 1998, 2133-2134; (b) Eliadou, K.; Yannakopoulou, K.; Rontoianni, A.; Mavridis, I. M. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 6217–6226; (c) Makedonopoulou, S.; Mavridis, I. M. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 2000, B56, 322–331;(d) Makedonopoulou, S.; Papaioannou, J.; Argyroglou, I.; Mavridis, I. M. J. Incl. Phenom. Macro. Chem. 2000, 36, 191–215.
- 4. Kamitori, S.; Muraoka, S.; Kondo, S.; Okuyama, K. Carbohydr. Res. 1998, 312, 177–181.
- 5. Udachin, K. A.; Wilson, L. D.; Ripmeester, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12375–12376.
- 6. Kamitori, S.; Matsuzaka, O.; Kondo, S.; Muraoka, S.; Okuyama, K.; Nouchi, K.; Okada, M.; Harada, A. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 1500–1502.
- 7. Stanier, C. A.; O'Connell, M. J.; Clegg, W.; Anderson, H. L. Chem. Commun. 2001, 493–494.
- 8. Kikugawa, Y. Synthesis 1981, 9, 124–125.
- 9. Selected data for the guest compounds: 1a: yield 85%; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{16}H_{26}N_4$: C, 70.03; H, 9.55; N, 20.42; found: C, 69.96; H, 9.66; N, 20.41; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.56 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.14 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 6.99 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 4.01 (4H, t, $J=6.9$ Hz, α -CH₂), 1.83–1.89 (4H, m, β-CH₂), 1.27–1.44 (12H, m, γ-ε-(CH₂)₃); ESI-MS: m/z 275 ($[M+H]$ ⁺). Compound 1b: yield 50% ; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): 7.58 $(2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.06 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 6.97 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 3.92$ (4H, t, $J=6.3$ Hz, α -CH₂), 1.62-1.66 (4H, m, β -CH₂), 1.12–1.16 (4H, m, γ-CH₂); ESI-MS: *m/z* 218 ([M+H]⁺). Compound 1c: yield 80% ; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.66 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.21 (4H, s, H_{bz}), 7.18 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 7.00 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 5.23 (4H, s, α -CH₂); ESI-MS: m/z 238 $([M+H]^+).$ Compound 1d: yield 71% ; elemental analysis calcd $(\%)$ for

 $C_{20}H_{18}N_4$: C, 76.41; H, 5.77; N, 17.82; found: C, 76.36; H, 5.77; N, 17.82; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.58 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.54 (4H, d, $J=7.5$ Hz, 2H_{bz}), 7.23 (4H, d, $J= 7.5$ Hz, 1H_{bz}), 7.11 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 6.93 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 5.16 (4H, s, α -CH₂); ESI-MS: m/z 315 ($[M+H]^+$). H_{im} refers to imidazole protons and H_{bz} refers to benzene-

ring protons. 10. General procedure for the preparation of pseudorotaxane 2a, 2b, 2c and 2d: a solution of 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d, respectively (1mmol) in methanol (5mL) was added to an aqueous solution (100 mL) of β -CD (1 mmol) at 40 °C water-bath. The mixture was filtered after stirring for 2h at room temperature and the filtrate was allowed to stand at ambient temperature for several weeks. Colourless crystals were collected and were dried in vacuo at the presence of P_2O_5 prior to the ¹H NMR and element analysis. Yield 40%.Compound 2a: elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₀₀H₁₆₆N₄O₇₀: C, 47.21; H, 6.58; N, 2.20; found: C, 47.30; H, 6.64; N, 2.16; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O, DSS): for β -CD, δ 5.06 (14H, d, J = 3.3Hz, H1), 3.87 (14H, t, J= 9.5Hz, H3), 3.83 (28H, s, H6), 3.70 (14H, d, $J= 9.5 \text{ Hz}$, H5), 3.65 (14H, dd, $J_{12}=3.3 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{23}=9.5 \text{ Hz}$, H2), 3.59 (14H, t, $J=9.5$ Hz, H4); for guest, 7.70 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.15 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 7.08 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 4.06 (4H, t, $J= 6.9$ Hz, α -CH₂), 1.75 (4H, br, β -CH₂), 1.15 (12H, br, γ -e-(CH₂)₆); ESI-MS: *m/z* 1227 ([M+2H]²⁺/2).

Compound 2b: elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{54}H_{88}N_4O_{35}$: C, 47.93; H, 6.55; N, 4.14; found: C, 47.88; H, 6.56; N, 4.07; ¹H NMR (500MHz, D₂O, DSS): for β -CD, δ 5.06 (7H, d, J=3.3Hz, H1), 3.87 (7H, t, J= 9.5Hz, H3), 3.84 (14H, s, H6), 3.65 (7H, dd, J_{12} = 3.3 Hz, J_{23} = 9.5 Hz, H2), 3.63 (7H, H5), 3.58 (7H, t, $J= 9.5$ Hz, H4); for guest, 7.59 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.07 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 7.05 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 4.01 (4H, t, J=6.3Hz, α -CH₂), 1.72 (4H, br, β-CH₂), 1.16 (4H, br, γ-CH₂); ESI-MS: *mlz* 677 ([M+2H]²⁺/2).

Compound 2c: elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{56}H_{84}N_4O_{35}$: C, 48.98; H, 6.17; N, 4.08; found: C, 48.86; H, 6.36; N, 4.01; ¹H NMR (500MHz, D₂O, DSS): for β -CD, δ 5.04 (7H, d, J=3.3Hz, H1), 3.79 (7H, t, J= 9.5Hz, H3), 3.74 (14H, s, H6), 3.63 (7H, dd, J_{12} = 3.3 Hz, J_{23} = 9.5 Hz, H2), 3.57 (7H, t, J = 9.5 Hz, H4), 3.45 (7H, d, $J=9.5$ Hz, H5); for guest, 7.75 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.16 (4H, s, H_{bz}), 7.11 (2H, s, bH_{im}), 7.06 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 5.25 (4H, s, α -CH₂); ESI-MS: m/z 687 ([M+2H]⁺/2).

Compound 2d: elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{104}H_{158}N_4O_{70}$: C, 48.33; H, 6.16; N, 2.17; found: C, 48.27; H, 6.18; N, 2.27; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O, DSS): for β -CD, δ 5.04 (14H, d, J=3.3Hz, H1), 3.82 (14H, t, $J= 9.5$ Hz, H3), 3.76 (28H, s, H6), 3.64 (14H, d, $J= 9.5$ Hz, H5), 3.63 (14H, dd, $J_{12} = 3.3$ Hz, $J_{23} = 9.5$ Hz, H2), 3.57 (14H, t, $J=9.5$ Hz, H4); for guest, 7.87 (2H, s, cH_{im}), 7.43 $(4H, d, J=7.5 Hz, 2H_{bz}), 7.35 (4H, d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H_{bz}), 7.15$ (2H, s, bH_{im}), 7.08 (2H, s, aH_{im}), 5.34 (4H, s, α -CH₂); ESI-MS: m/z 1293 ($[M+2H]$ ⁺/2).

- 11. Crystallographic data of $2a \cdot 23H_2O$: C₁₀₀H₂₁₂N₄O₉₃, $Mr = 2958.71$, triclinic, space group P1, $a = 15.435(3)$, $b= 15.466(2)$, $c= 17.949(3)$ Å, $\alpha= 99.310(10)$, $\beta=$ 113.160(10), $\gamma = 103.110(10)^{\circ}$, $V = 3683.0(12)\text{ Å}^3$ $Z=1$, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.313 \text{ g/cm}^{-3}$, $\mu(\text{Mo}_{\text{k}\alpha}) = 0.118 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, $F(000) =$ 1538. Intensities of 35665 reflections were measured with a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer at 293K $(\lambda(Mo_{k\alpha})=0.71073\text{ Å}, 2\theta<56^{\circ})$, and 26,613 independent reflections ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.026$) were used in further refinement. The refinement converged to $wR_2 = 0.1561$ and GOF = 1.073 for all independent reflections $(R_1 = 0.0547)$ was calculated against F^2 for 22,826 observed reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$). CCDC 217565 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. This data can be obtained online free of charge (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44-1223-336-033; or deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Crystallographic data of $2c \cdot 8H_2O$: $C_{56}H_{100}N_4O_{43}$, Mr = 1517.39, monoclinic, space group C2, $a=19.101(2)$, $b=24.032(2)$, $c=32.580(3)$ Å, $\alpha=90$, $\beta = 93.763(12), \gamma = 90^{\circ}, \quad V = 14,923(2) \text{ Å}^3, \quad Z = 8, \quad \rho_{\text{calcd}} =$ 1.329 g/cm⁻³, $\mu(Mo_{k\alpha}) = 0.116$ mm⁻¹, $F(000) = 6464$. Intensities of 40,378 reflections were measured with a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer at 293K $(\lambda(Mo_{k\alpha})=$ 0.71073 Å , $2\theta < 56^{\circ}$), and 27,848 independent reflections $(R_{int} = 0.016)$ were used in further refinement. The refinement converged to wR_2 = 0.1915 and GOF = 1.073 for all independent reflections (R_1 = 0.0605 was calculated against F^2 for 17,838 observed reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$). CCDC 217566 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.
- 12. Schneider, H.; Hacket, F.; Rudiger, V. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1755–1785.
- 13. (a) Avram, L.; Cohen, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2639-2644; (b) Lyon, A. P.; Macartney, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 729–736;(c) Lou, L.;Chen, H.;Tang, W.; Zhang, Z.; Mak, T. C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 4425–4430.